arXiv:1407.2413v2 [hep-th] 3 Dec 2014

A new regularization of loop integral, no divergence, no hierarchy problem

Wenyu Wang[1], Jian-Feng Wu[1], Si-Hong Zhou[2]
[1] *Institute of Theoretical Physics, College of Applied Science, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China*
[2] *Institute of High Energy Physics and Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities, Chinese Academy of Sciences,Beijing 100049, China*

We find a new regularization scheme which is motivated by the Bose-Einstein condensation. The energy of the virtual particle is considered as discrete. Summing them and regulating the summation by the Riemann ζ function can give the result of loop integral. All the divergences vanish, we can get almost the same results as Dimensional Regularization. The prediction beyond Dimensional Regularization is also shown in the QED. The hierarchy problem of the radiative correction of scalar mass completely vanish.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Gh, 67.85.Hj, 31.30.jr, 14.80.Bn,

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the higgs suffers from the hierarchy problem. The key point of the mass hierarchy is the radiative loop of a scalar with no protection from a symmetry, namely, the one propagator integration:

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{i}{l^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}, \tag{1}$$

which is quadratically divergent in four dimension. This implies large fine tuning between bare value and radiative corrections, making the higgs in the Standard model (SM) very unnatural. Here, we should suspect on the integral in momentum space more seriously. Always we use the Fourier transformation between the coordinate and the momentum space in the study. The basic idea of such transformation is periodic boundary condition in coordinate or momentum space and orthogonality of trigonometrical functions. Summing all the partial wave gives transformation between periodic functions. Summing changes to a continuous integral when the period goes to infinity. This is all about mathematics. However, it is quite obscure to do such an integral since in general the energy of a particle in the quantum world have no reason to be continuous, neither the momenta.



FIG. 1: Sketch map of Bose-Einstein condensation.

The way from discrete summing to integral is classic in mathematics and physics. However, such operation may go wrong in some physical systems. One example is Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in statistical physics. The sketch map of BEC process is shown in FIG. 1: Bose and Einstein worked out the Bose-Einstein statistics in the analysis of boson system with discrete energy, then changed it to integral when they apply the statistics to a real number density conserved system. When the temperature decreases over the critical point (the chemical potential μ approaches zero), finite number of particles must condense on the ground state which can not be integrated. This is a phase transition process and there are other physics processes implying that we must be very careful in the integral operations. For example, we can do integral to calculate the work of isothermal processes, but can not do it for a process composed of infinite infinitesimal adiabatic free expansions, these two processes can form a superficially same curve in the pressure and volume plot.

As for the integral Eq. (1) in the momentum space, we believe the quantum nature should also dominate the propagator progress such that the integral must be substituted by a summation. We do not allow propagating particles have energy lower than its effective mass. This is a physical demand because under this energy bound, there should be no such a particle. In space-time viewpoint, it means that for a quantum scattering process triggered, the collision time should not be too long because a long time collision implies a classical process happens. In fact, a "point" or a "plane wave" is only a concept in the mathematical quantum field theory, there are no such things in the real world. By uncertainty principle, the more precise measurement on the space-time, the more uncertainty on the momentum-energy. The increase of the collision energy of the colliders only increases the accuracy of the space-time, but a lower limit of the measurement always exists. Thus our demand brings a strong constraint on the momentum space and it implies that there is no way from the summation to integral. the infinitesimal energy of massive propagating particle does not survive in a quantum process. There should be a lower bound of smallest energy.

It's very interesting that there are many regularization of divergence summing in mathematics, especially the Riemann $\zeta(s)$ functions: (Einstein used the finite part in BEC processes.) $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$. One classical regularization is $\zeta(-1)$:

$$\zeta(-1) = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + ... = -\frac{1}{12}\,, \tag{2}$$

which details can be found in Ref. [1]. Such regularization and BEC process give us a hint on the integral in the momentum space. In this paper we find a new regularization scheme of loop integral by using regularization of Riemann ζ functions. The divergences of all the loop integral vanish, and they can be considered as a condensation on the vacuum partly. Rich physics can be found in our regularization.

The paper is organized in the following: In Sec. II, we show the detail of our regularization. In Sec. III we show the implications of our method. The conclusion is given in the Sec. IV.

II. DISCRETE REGULARIZATION OF LOOP FUNCTION

The standard method of dimensional regularization (DR) is: Feynman parameterization, Wick rotation and integral in the Euclidean space. Here we begin at the point after the Wick rotation. Any loop function in d dimension is

$$I(d, n, S) = \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(l^2 + S)^n}\,, \tag{3}$$

where S is the effective mass got from Feynman parameterization. Using DR, additional μ parameter should be introduced, the result is:

$$I_D(d, n, S, \mu) = \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(l^2 + S)^n} = \mu^\epsilon \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{\Gamma(n - \frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{1}{S}\right)^{n - \frac{d}{2}}\,. \tag{4}$$

Note that, ϵ is a constant, not an input parameter. However, as argued in the introduction, such integral in the energy direction in our scenario should be replaced by a summation of discrete energy. Thus we consider the virtual particle like an oscillator, which energy gap is denoted as l_0, the energy level is jl_0. Integral Eq. (3) $I(d, n, S)$ can be changed into another function $I_W(d, n, S, l_0)$ which is

$$I_W(d, n, S, l_0) \;=\; \frac{l_0}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{d-1} l}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{(l^2 + j^2 l_0^2 + S)^n} + \frac{l_0}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^{d-1} l}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{(l^2 + S)^n}\,. \tag{5}$$

Here the gap l_0 is a new parameter, the second term is the ground state contribution. We can see that this operation can remove one dimension of the divergence, in the following we will use regularization of Riemann ζ function to

regulate the divergence. Using Eq. (4) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} I_W(d,n,S,l_0) &= \frac{l_0}{\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2-1/2}}\frac{\Gamma(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n)}\left(\frac{1}{j^2l_0^2+S}\right)^{n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{l_0}{2\pi}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2-1/2}}\frac{\Gamma(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n)}\left(\frac{1}{S}\right)^{n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{l_0}{\pi}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2-1/2}}\frac{\Gamma(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n)}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(j^2l_0^2+S\right)^{-(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{S}\right)^{n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\ &= \frac{l_0^{-2n+d}}{\pi}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2-1/2}}\frac{\Gamma(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n)}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(j^2+\frac{S}{l_0^2}\right)^{-(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}+\frac{1}{2}(S/l_0^2)^{-(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}\right] \\ &= \frac{4l_0^{-2n+d}}{(4\pi)^{d/2+1/2}}\frac{\Gamma(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n)}\left[E_1^{S/l_0^2}(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2};\ 1)+\frac{1}{2}(S/l_0^2)^{-(n-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

All the divergences in the Γ function now vanish in case of even number dimension. This is just what we want. The divergences are absorbed by the Epstein-Hurwitz function :

$$E_1^{c^2}(s;\ 1)\equiv\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(j^2+c^2)^{-s}\,, \tag{7}$$

where $c^2=S/l_0^2$ and $s=n-d/2+1/2$. It can be regulated by Remiann ζ function in case of $c^2\leq 1$,the results depend on the parameter s, which is: [1]

1. in case of $\frac{1}{2}-s\in N$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{c^2}(s;1) &= -\frac{(-1)^{-(s-1/2)}\pi^{1/2}}{2\Gamma(s)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-s)}c^{1-2s}\left[\psi(\frac{1}{2})-\psi(\frac{3}{2}-s)+\ln c^2+2\gamma\right]-\frac{1}{2}c^{-2s} \\ &\quad -\sum_{k=0,k\neq\frac{1}{2}-s}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(k+s)}{k!\Gamma(s)}\zeta(2k+2s)c^{2k}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

2. in case of $\frac{1}{2}-s\notin N$ and $-s\notin N$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{c^2}(s;1) &= \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2\Gamma(s)}\Gamma(s-\frac{1}{2})c^{1-2s}-\frac{1}{2}c^{-2s} \\ &\quad -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(k+s)}{k!\Gamma(s)}\zeta(2k+2s)c^{2k}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

3. in case of $-s\in N$:

$$E_1^{c^2}(s;1) = -\sum_{k=0}^{-s}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(k+s)}{k!\Gamma(s)}\zeta(2k+2s)c^{2k}\,. \tag{10}$$

where N is the natural number $N=0,1,2,3,\cdots$, γ is Euler constant and $\psi(x)$ is Di-Gamma function. For $d=4$ and $n\leq 2$, we should use the first formula to calculate the loop integral, for $n\geq 3$ we should use the second formula. Also we can use the similar way like dimensional regularization that we let d approaches the even dimension number, then we should use the second formula for all the loop integrals. One can check that in case of $n\leq 2$, the divergences of the second formula cancel each other when the dimension number d approaches 4. In fact, $E_1^{c^2}(s;\ 1)$ is a continuous function in the complex plane, thus all the divergences vanish in our new method of regularization.

Putting the result of $E_1^{c^2}(s;\ 1)$ to Eq. (6), we can get the analytical formula of loop integral in the new regularization, Note that the second term of the Eq.(8) and Eq.(9) cancels the last term in the bracket of Eq. (6) which is the ground state contribution, remaining only with two kinds of terms: one is finite term composed by the product of Γ functions, another is a summation of a power series of S/l_0^2. If we set the $l_0^2\gg S$ the first kinds of term will be the leading contribution to the loop integral. The summation of the power series will be higher order contributions, and it can be

evaluated order by order. Interestingly, the first terms are the exact analytical result of dimensional regularization. For example, the result of DR of two point B_0 function is: (labeled as D)

$$B_0^D = \triangle + \ln\frac{\mu^2}{m_1^2} - \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \ln S(x)\,, \tag{11}$$

in which $\triangle = \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \gamma + \ln 4\pi$ is the divergent term of DR. The result of the new regularization is: (labeled as W)

$$\begin{aligned} B_0^W &= 2\ln 2 - 2\gamma + \ln\frac{l_0^2}{m_1^2} - \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \ln S(x) \\ &\quad -2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k+1/2)}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+1)\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \left(\frac{m_1^2}{l_0^2}S(x)\right)^k . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We can see that the divergence regulated from DR are replaced by some dimensionless constants. Other terms of the the first line of both regularization are exactly the same. Results of other functions are similar, one can check them in the appendix in which we show the analytical results of A, B and C function in the two kinds of regularization.

Before using the new regularization, the first question should be where does the divergence go. As we use the feynman rules to do the loop calculation, the integration in the momentum space is ultraviolet divergent in some functions. In the new regularization, we use the $\Gamma(x)$ and Riemann $\zeta(s)$ functions to eliminate the divergences mathematically. Take quadratically divergent A function as an example, the divergence are regulated into $\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})$ and $\zeta(-1)$ indeed. These two functions are superficially divergent but are regulated to be finite by mathematicians. Therefore, we emphasize that the divergence of our new regularization is only a mathematical problem. However, if using the ordinary dimensional regularization, the divergences are extracted and canceled by the introducing the counter terms. Thus they are physical problems, the variables are renormalized by physicists and the renormalization exposes an understanding of the physical world. Here we address that there are two levels to understand our regularization:

1. Level I: This regularization is only a trick by which we can get the almost the same results of dimensional regularization in case of $l_0^2 \gg S$. The divergence are removed and the running of renormalization group (shown in the QED) can still remain.

2. Level II: As talked in the introduction, the way from summing to integral may go wrong in real physical systems such as in the BEC process in which integral should be divergent in case of phase transition. What we are doing here is kind of an anti-BEC process in which integral is divergent but summation became finite. We can consider that the divergences are in fact condensed in the vacuum. If so, we should take a new look at the quantum field theory.

In addition to remove the divergences, another difference between the DR and the new regularization is the power series term which become significantly important when S/l_0^2 approaches 1. In the following section, we will show the implication of the new regularization.

III. IMPLICATION OF NEW REGULARIZATION

The quantum field theory has achieved great successes, of which the most important parts are the radiative corrections and the renormalizations. At first, physicists do the renormalization just for dealing with the irritating divergence when doing the radiative corrections. Finally they found that renormalization exposed a deep understanding on the quantum theory. In our new regularization, though there is no divergence in loop integrals, the renormalization is still necessary, This is because every physical variable will be changed by radiative corrections, and we must define a theory at a renormalization points. Since the leading analytical terms are the same as the dimensional regularization, the energy gap l_0 can be denoted as the renormalization point of a theory, like the μ parameter in DR. The renormalization will be exactly the same as the dimensional regularization when we set $l_0^2 \gg S$. Such regularization will be only a trick. Note that though there is no divergent term, the renormalization group evolution is still the same in this case. However, here we want to address that what we are doing is very like an anti-BEC process, thus the energy gap l_0 is kind of the temperature of the vacuum. The verification of our proposal will be the power series terms of the loop integral, which will modify the ordinary loop integral in case of the momentum of a processes is close to the energy scale at which the theory is defined. We will see such implication on the quantum electro-dynamics (QED) and the hierarchy problem of radiative mass of a scalar.

A. Predications in the QED

Among all the theories in science, perhaps the QED is the most precisely tested. Loop calculations are taken into higher and higher order, still no significant deviation is founded. Thus the new regularization must recover the result of the QED. Also we should use the precision test of the QED to see that if the new regularization have some new predictions. At one loop level, the radiative predictions of the QED come from three diagrams:[3] the electron self-energy, the photon self-energy and the photon-electron vertex. By doing this we can get electron magnetic moment a_e, the Lamb shift, the running of coupling strength $\alpha_{\text{eff}}(q^2)$, and the β function of the QED. As talked above if we use the same terms as in the DR, there is no new prediction of the new regularization. Here we list and check the modification predicted from leading term of the power series terms:

1. *Electron magnetic movement a_e.*

$$a_e \equiv \frac{g-2}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\frac{\zeta(3)}{6}\frac{m_e^2}{l_0^2}\,. \tag{13}$$

   The first term is the same result of DR and the last term is leading modification from the new regularization. One can check that the modification is very small if l_0 is set at electro-weak scale. More precision measurement of a_e can test the new regularization. Note that the last term gives a negative contribution to magnetic movement, thus it can not account for the 3.4σ deviation [2] of muon magnetic movement by pure QED. However, the complete checking should include the electro-weak and hadronic loop contributions which is beyond this work.

2. *Lamb shift.* the Lamb shift will not be changed by the new regularization. This is because that the Uehling potential comes from the imaginary part of photon self energy $\hat{\Pi}_2(q^2)$ which not appear in the power series terms.

3. *Gauge Invariance.* Since we divide the one of the four dimension integral into discrete summation, the new regulator will violate local U(1) gauge symmetry of the QED, thus Ward-Takahashi Identity will no be preserved. Among the usual regulators such as Cut-off, Pauli-Villars and DR, only DR preserves the gauge symmetry and Lorentz symmetry. As talked in the introduction, continuous gauge symmetry and Lorentz symmetry is based on the "point" or "plane wave" mathematical quantum field theory, violation of these symmetry in real physics processes can be accepted.

4. *Running of coupling strength $\alpha_{\text{eff}}(q^2)$.*

$$\alpha_{\text{eff}}(q^2) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\ln(-q^2/A'm^2)}\,. \tag{14}$$

   where $A' = \exp(\frac{5}{3} + \frac{\zeta(3)}{5})$. The additional ζ term is leading modification from the new regularization. Note that, to get this formula, we use the condition $l_0^2 \sim -q^2 \gg m^2$ which means that the energy scale is much higher than the mass of electron.

5. *β function of the QED.* If the energy scale l_0 is the temperature of the vacuum, the β function of the coupling is kind of thermal capacitance of a theory. Especially when the momentum q^2 approaches the temperature l_0 ($\ln\frac{-q^2}{l_0^2} \sim \ln M^2$ e.g. $M^2 \to 1$), then the β function will be exactly the capacitance:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\lambda) &= M\frac{\partial}{\partial M}(\text{counter terms})\\ &= 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln M^2}(\text{counter terms})\\ &\simeq 2\frac{\partial}{\partial M^2}(\text{counter terms})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

   This means that the β function will change when running to the high energy scale ($-q^2 \sim l_0^2$). In case of $-q^2$ approaches l_0^2, for example, β function of the QED is:

$$\beta(e) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^3}{12\pi^2} - \frac{1}{3}\zeta(3)\frac{\mathrm{e}^3}{16\pi^2}\,, \tag{16}$$

The first term is the prediction of DR. The second term is the modification of the new regularization. Using renormalization group equation of the QED and the Eq. (16), setting $l_0^2 = Am^2$, we get the running of coupling strength:

$$\alpha_{eff}(q^2) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - (\frac{\alpha}{3\pi} - \frac{\zeta(3)\alpha}{12\pi})\ln(-q^2/Am^2)} \,. \tag{17}$$

Similar to the Eq. (14), the prediction also deviate from result of DR by $\frac{\zeta(3)\alpha}{12\pi}$ in second term of denominator. In all, for the QED, predictions of the new regularization will be the same as DR at the low energy. However, when energy increases, the additional power series term will become significant. When it goes close to the temperature of the vacuum, the new regularization will give a different story.

B. Hierarchy problem

Scalar mass in quantum field theory is a big obstacle of renormalization. For the radiative correction of a scalar mass is quadratic divergent, making subtly tuning between bare value and the corrections. However, in the new regularization, such tuning completely vanishes.

- $\lambda\phi^4$ *theory:* the leading term of mass counter term is

$$\delta_m = \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{m^2}{16\pi^2}\left(2\ln 2 - 2\gamma + \ln\frac{l_0^2}{m^2} + 1 + \frac{l_0^2}{3m^2}\right) . \tag{18}$$

- *Yukawa theory:* the leading term of mass counter term is

$$\delta_m = -\frac{Y^2}{4\pi^2}\left[\frac{l_0^2}{3} + \int_0^1 dx(m_f^2 - x(1-x)m_s^2)\left(6\ln 2 - 6\gamma - 3\ln\frac{m_f^2 - x(1-x)m_s^2}{l_0^2} + 1\right)\right] + m_s^2\delta_Z \,, \tag{19}$$

where m_f is the fermion mass, m_s is the scalar mass and δ_Z is the counter term of scalar field renormalization which is:

$$\delta_Z = -\frac{3Y^2}{4\pi^2}\int_0^1 dx x(1-x)\left(2\ln 2 - 2\gamma - \frac{2}{3} - \ln\frac{m_f^2 - x(1-x)m_s^2}{l_0^2}\right) . \tag{20}$$

Though there are quadratic mass term of l_0^2 in Eq. (18) and Eq. (19), l_0 (energy gap) is not corresponding to an energy scale of new physics. Note that the summation of j in Eq. (6) is from 1 to infinity, we do not set a cut on the integration. What we need is to set l_0 heavier than the effective mass of the integral. In such case, no tuning is needed and no hierarchy in our new regularization.

We know that the hierarchy problem is perhaps the biggest theoretical dilemma of SM. It has motivated the most new physics model beyond SM, such as supersymmetry, extra dimension *et. al.* [4] If we use the new regularization, hierarchy problem does not seem to be the first motivation of new physics. In our opinion, the divergence of a radiative correction is non-physical, the emergence of divergence is because of a wrong mathematical tools used by physicists. Our regularization gives a clue to the right tools. Also it exposed a deep understanding of relation between virtual particle and vacuum. The virtual particles condensed on the vacuum do not give the radiative corrections. Note that, the regularization by Riemann ζ function can also calculate Casimir effect and the vacuum energy in Ref. [1].

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we find a new regularization scheme which is motivated by the BEC process, the energy of the virtual particle is considered as discrete. Summing them and regulating the summation by the Riemann ζ function can give the result of loop integral. All the divergences vanish and we can get almost the same results of DR. The prediction beyond DR is also shown in the QED. The hierarchy problem of the radiative correction of scalar mass completely vanishes. This give us very comfortable understanding on the QED and SM in quantum field theory.

As we consider the energy of particle as discrete, one may argue that, our regularization breaks Lorentz symmetry. However, the leading term of the new regularization is exactly the same as DR which preserves the Lorentz symmetry. The new regularization is very powerful if one treat it as trick. The Lorentz symmetry violating term may expose a deep understanding of the vacuum. We want emphasis that what we are doing is the statistics of vacuum, the implication of the thermal physics of vacuum needs further study.

APPENDIX

Here we list the A, B, C loop function under DR (labeled as D) and the new regularization (label as W):

- A function: $\triangle = \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \gamma + \ln 4\pi$,

$$\frac{i}{16\pi^2} A(m^2) \;=\; \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{l^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \,, \tag{21}$$

$$A^D \;=\; m^2 \left[\triangle + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m^2} + 1 \right] , \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^W \;=\; & m^2 \left[2\ln 2 - 2\gamma + \ln \frac{l_0^2}{m^2} + 1 \right. \\ & \left. + 2 \sum_{k=0, k\neq 1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k - \frac{1}{2})}{k! \pi^{1/2}} \zeta(2k-1) \left(\frac{m^2}{l_0^2} \right)^{k-1} \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

- B function: $S(x) = \frac{p^2}{m_1^2} x^2 - \frac{p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2}{m_1^2} x + 1$,

$$\frac{i}{16\pi^2} B_0(p, m_1^2, m_2^2) \;=\; \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{[l^2 - m_1^2 + i\epsilon][(l-p)^2 - m_2^2 + i\epsilon]} \,, \tag{24}$$

$$\tag{25}$$

$$B_0^D \;=\; \triangle + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_1^2} - \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \ln S(x) \,, \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_0^W \;=\; & 2\ln 2 - 2\gamma + \ln \frac{l_0^2}{m_1^2} - \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \ln S(x) \\ & - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k + 1/2)}{k! \pi^{1/2}} \zeta(2k+1) \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \left(\frac{m_1^2}{l_0^2} S(x) \right)^k . \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{i}{16\pi^2} B_\mu(p, m_1^2, m_2^2) \;=\; \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{l_\mu}{(l^2 - m_1^2 + i\epsilon)[(l-p)^2 - m_2^2 + i\epsilon]} \,, \tag{28}$$

$$B_\mu^D \;=\; \frac{1}{2} p_\mu \left[\triangle + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_1^2} - 2 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x x \ln S(x) \right] , \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu^W \;=\; & \frac{1}{2} p_\mu \left[2\ln 2 - 2\gamma + \ln \frac{l_0^2}{m_1^2} - 2 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x x \ln S(x) \right. \\ & \left. - 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k + 1/2)}{k! \pi^{1/2}} \zeta(2k+1) \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x x \left(\frac{m_1^2}{l_0^2} S(x) \right)^k \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\frac{i}{16\pi^2} B_{\mu\nu}(p, m_1^2, m_2^2) \;=\; \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d l}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{l_\mu l_\nu}{[l^2 - m_1^2 + i\epsilon][(l-p)^2 - m_2^2 + i\epsilon]} \,, \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mu\nu}^D \;=\; & \frac{1}{3} \left\{ p_\mu p_\nu \left[\Delta + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_1^2} - 3 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x x^2 \ln S(x) \right] \right. \\ & + \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{d} \left[(3m_1^2 + 3m_2^2 - p^2)(\Delta + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_1^2} + 1) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{3m_1^2 + 3m_2^2 - p^2}{2} - 6m_1^2 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x S(x) \ln S(x) \right] \right\} , \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^W_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{3}\left\{p_\mu p_\nu\left[2\ln 2-2\gamma+\ln\frac{l_0^2}{m_1^2}-3\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x x^2 \ln S(x)\right]\right. \\
&+\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{d}\left[(3m_1^2+3m_2^2-p^2)(2\ln 2-2\gamma+\ln\frac{l_0^2}{m_1^2}+1)\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{3m_1^2+3m_2^2-p^2}{2}-6m_1^2\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x S(x)\ln S(x)\right] \\
&-6p_\mu p_\nu\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(k+1/2)}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+1)\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x x^2\left(\frac{m_1^2}{l_0^2}S(x)\right)^k\right] \\
&-6\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{d}\left[-l_0^2\sum_{k=0,k\neq 1}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(k-1/2)}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k-1)\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\left(\frac{m_1^2}{l_0^2}S(x)\right)^k\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(k+1/2)}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+1)\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x m_1^2 S(x)\left(\frac{m_1^2}{l_0^2}S(x)\right)^k\right]\right\}. \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}
$$

- C function: $S(x,y)=(x^2p^2+y^2p'^2+2xypp')-x(p^2+m_1^2-m_2^2)-y(p'^2+m_1^2-m_3^2)+m_1^2$,

$$
\frac{i}{16\pi^2}C_0(p,p',m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2) = \int\frac{\mathrm{d}^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{1}{(l^2-m_1^2+i\epsilon)[(l-p)^2-m_2^2+i\epsilon][(l-p')^2-m_3^2+i\epsilon]}, \qquad (34)
$$

$$
C_0^D; C_\mu^D = -\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y(1;xp_\mu+yp'_\mu)\frac{1}{S(x,y)}, \qquad (35)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_0^W; C_\mu^W &= -\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y(1;xp_\mu+yp'_\mu)\left[\frac{1}{S(x,y)}\right. \\
&\left.-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{2\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+3)l_0^{-2}\left(\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\right)^k\right]. \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{i}{16\pi^2}C_{\mu\nu}(p,p',m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2) = \int\frac{\mathrm{d}^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{l_\mu l_\nu}{(l^2-m_1^2-i\epsilon)[(l-p)^2-m_2^2+i\epsilon][(l-p')^2-m_3^2-i\epsilon]}, \qquad (37)
$$

$$
C^D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4}\triangle-\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y\left[\frac{x^2p_\mu p_\nu+xy(p_\mu p'_\nu+p'_\mu p_\nu)+y^2p'_\mu p'_\nu}{S(x,y)}+\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2}\ln\frac{S(x,y)}{\mu^2}\right], \qquad (38)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^W_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4}(2\ln 2-2\gamma-\frac{1}{2}) \\
&-\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y\left[\frac{x^2p_\mu p_\nu+xy(p_\mu p'_\nu+p'_\mu p_\nu)+y^2p'_\mu p'_\nu}{S(x,y)}+\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2}\ln\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\right] \\
&+\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2}\left[-2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(k+1/2)}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+1)\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y\left(\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\right)^k\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{2\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+3)\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y\left(\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\right)^{k+1}\right] \\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{2\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+3)\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y \\
&\times\left[x^2p_\mu p_\nu+xy(p_\mu p'_\nu+p'_\mu p_\nu)+y^2p'_\mu p'_\nu\right]l_0^{-2}\left(\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\right)^k. \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\frac{i}{16\pi^2}C_{\mu\nu\sigma}(p,p',m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2) \;=\; \int\frac{\mathrm{d}^dl}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{l_\mu l_\nu l_\sigma}{(l^2-m_1^2+i\epsilon)[(l-p)^2-m_2^2+i\epsilon][(l-p')^2-m_3^2+i\epsilon]}\,, \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C^D_{\mu\nu\sigma} \;=\;& \frac{\Delta}{12}\left[g_{\mu\nu}(p+p')_\sigma+g_{\nu\sigma}(p+p')_\mu+g_{\sigma\mu}(p+p')_\nu\right]\\
&-\int_0^1\mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y\Big\{\left[x^3p_\mu p_\nu p_\sigma+x^2y(p_\mu p_\nu p'_\sigma+p_\mu p'_\nu p_\sigma+p'_\mu p_\nu p_\sigma)\right.\\
&\left.+xy^2(p'_\mu p'_\nu p_\sigma+p'_\mu p_\nu p'_\sigma+p_\mu p'_\nu p'_\sigma)+y^3p'_\mu p'_\nu p'_\sigma\right]/S(x,y)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{\mu\nu}(xp+yp')_\sigma+g_{\nu\sigma}(xp+yp')_\mu+g_{\sigma\mu}(xp+yp')_\nu\right]\ln\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\Big\}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C^W_{\mu\nu\sigma} \;=\;& \frac{2\ln2-2\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}{12}[g_{\mu\nu}(p+p')_\sigma+g_{\nu\sigma}(p+p')_\mu+g_{\sigma\mu}(p+p')_\nu]\\
&-\int_0^1\mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y\Big\{\left[x^3p_\mu p_\nu p_\sigma+x^2y(p_\mu p_\nu p'_\sigma+p_\mu p'_\nu p_\sigma+p'_\mu p_\nu p_\sigma)\right.\\
&\left.+xy^2(p'_\mu p'_\nu p_\sigma+p'_\mu p_\nu p'_\sigma+p_\mu p'_\nu p'_\sigma)+y^3p'_\mu p'_\nu p'_\sigma\right]/S(x,y)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{\mu\nu}(xp+yp')_\sigma+g_{\nu\sigma}(xp+yp')_\mu+g_{\sigma\mu}(xp+yp')_\nu\right]\ln\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\Big\}\\
&-\int_0^1\mathrm{d}x\int_0^{1-x}\mathrm{d}y\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{\mu\nu}(xp+yp')_\sigma+g_{\nu\sigma}(xp+yp')_\mu+g_{\sigma\mu}(xp+yp')_\nu\right]\right.\\
&\times\left[2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(k+1/2)}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+1)\left(\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\right)^k-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{2\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+3)\left(\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\right)^{k+1}\right]\\
&+\left[x^3p_\mu p_\nu p_\sigma+x^2y(p_\mu p_\nu p'_\sigma+p_\mu p'_\nu p_\sigma+p'_\mu p_\nu p_\sigma)+xy^2(p'_\mu p'_\nu p_\sigma+p'_\mu p_\nu p'_\sigma+p_\mu p'_\nu p'_\sigma)+y^3p'_\mu p'_\nu p'_\sigma\right]\\
&\left.\times\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{2\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{k!\pi^{1/2}}\zeta(2k+3)\,l_0^{-2}\left(\frac{S(x,y)}{l_0^2}\right)^k\right\}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

[1] E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A. A. Bytsenko and S. Zerbini, "Zeta regularization techniques with applications," Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific (1994) 319 p; E. Elizalde, "Ten physical applications of spectral zeta functions," Lect. Notes Phys. **855**, 1 (2012); K. Kirsten, "Basic zeta functions and some applications in physics," MSRI Publ. **57**, 101 (2010) [arXiv:1005.2389 [hep-th]].

[2] K. Hagiwara, A. D. Martin, D. Nomura and T. Teubner, "Improved predictions for g-2 of the muon and alpha(QED) (M**2(Z))," Phys. Lett. B **649**, 173 (2007) [hep-ph/0611102].

[3] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder "An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory", ISBN 0-201-50397-2, Beijing World Publishing Corp.

[4] G. L. Kane, "Perspectives on issues beyond the standard model," hep-ph/0403040.